1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 DOMINEM WILSON, Case No.: 1:24-cv-1181 JLT BAM (PC) 12 Plaintiff, ORDER ADOPTING IN FULL THE FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS, DISMISSING THE 13 ACTION WITHOUT PREJUDICE, AND v. DIRECTING THE CLERK OF COURT TO CLOSE J. DOERER, 14 THE CASE 15 Defendant. (Doc. 5) 16 Dominem Wilson seeks to hold J. Doerer, Warden at United States Penitentiary Atwater, liable 17 for violations of his civil rights while incarcerated at the facility. The assigned magistrate judge found 18 19 Plaintiff failed to obey the Court's order and failed to prosecute the action. (Doc. 5 at 1-2.) The 20 magistrate judge found terminating sanctions are appropriate, after considering the factors identified by the Ninth Circuit in Henderson v. Duncan, 779 F.2d 1421, 1424 (9th Cir. 1986). (Id. at 2-3.) Thus, the 21 22 magistrate judge recommended that the Court dismiss the action without prejudice. (Id. at 3.) 23 The Court served the Findings and Recommendations on Plaintiff and notified him that any 24 objections were due within 14 days. (Doc. 5 at 3.) The Court advised Plaintiff that the "failure to file objections within the specified time may result in the waiver of the 'right to challenge the magistrate's 25 26 27 ¹ On October 3, 2024, the Court severed Plaintiff's claims from *Benanti v. Doerer*, Case No. 1:24-cv-01108-CDB (PC) and directed Plaintiff to: (1) file a signed complaint bearing his own case number; and (2) submit a completed 28 application to proceed in forma pauperis or pay the \$405.00 filing fee to proceed with this action. (See Doc. 2 at 6-9.)

¹

Case 1:24-cv-01181-JLT-BAM Document 6 Filed 01/02/25 Page 2 of 2

1	factual findings' on appeal." (Id. at 4, quoting Wilkerson v. Wheeler, 772 F.3d 834, 838-39 (9th Cir.
2	2014).) Plaintiff did not file objections, and the time to do so expired.
3	According to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), this Court performed a de novo review of this case. Having
4	carefully reviewed the matter, the Court concludes the Findings and Recommendations are supported
5	by the record and proper analysis. Thus, the Court ORDERS :
6	1. The Findings and Recommendations dated December 4, 2024 (Doc. 5) are ADOPTED
7	in full.
8	2. The action is DISMISSED without prejudice for Plaintiff's failure to prosecute and
9	failure to comply with the Court's order.
10	3. The Clerk of Court is directed to close this case.
11	
12	IT IS SO ORDERED.
13	Dated: January 2, 2025 United States District Judge
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
2627	
41	